One of the fundamental problems in cognitive science is how humans categorize the visible color spectrum. The empirical evidence of the existence of universal or recurrent patterns in color naming across cultures is paralleled by the observation that color names begin to be used by individual cultures in a relatively fixed order. The origin of this hierarchy is largely unexplained. Here we resort to multiagent simulations, where a population of individuals, subject to a simple perceptual constraint shared by all humans, namely the human Just Noticeable Difference, categorizes and names colors through a purely cultural negotiation in the form of language games. We found that the time needed for a population to reach consensus on a color name depends on the region of the visible color spectrum. If color spectrum regions are ranked according to this criterion, a hierarchy with [red, (magenta)-red], [violet], [green/yellow], [blue], [orange], and [cyan], appearing in this order, is recovered, featuring an excellent quantitative agreement with the empirical observations of the WCS. Our results demonstrate a clear possible route to the emergence of hierarchical color categories, confirming that the theoretical modeling in this area has now attained the required maturity to make significant contributions to the ongoing debates concerning language universals.

Color naming represents a paradigmatic problem in cognitive science and linguistics (1–3) due to the unique complex interplay between perception, conceptualization, and language it features. In addition, color naming constitutes an outstanding example of the long “nature versus nurture” debate in cognitive science, namely whether color names are pure arbitrary linguistic conventions (4) (i.e., nurture) or they are coded in some innate human feature (i.e., nature) (5). Color naming patterns exhibit structural regularities across cultures (6–8). Extensive studies involving basic color names have been performed in the past that reveal interesting properties such as the nonrandom distribution of color terms (9) and an optimal partition of the color space by these terms (10). The data gathered in the World Color Survey (WCS) (11), extending the pioneering work by Berlin and Kay (6), provided evidence for the existence of universals in color categorization. Since then, a long line of research (9, 12–16) confirmed the existence of such universals, although the scientific debate is still wide open (17, 18). Recently (19), it has been pointed out how the observed recurrent patterns in language organization could be explained as stable engineering solutions reflecting cultural and historical factors (15) as well as the constraints of human cognition. Along this same line, recent findings (16) suggest how a pure cultural negotiation process, with a slight non-language specific bias, can account for the observed regularities across different populations.

One of the most crucial observations related to the universality of color naming is the existence of basic color names across languages (6). These basic color names are identified (not without ambiguities) as being monolexemic, highly frequent, and agreed upon by speakers of the same language. A surprising experimental finding about color names is the existence of a hierarchy of basic color names which began to be used by individual cultures in a relatively fixed order (6). According to this observation, basic color names can be organized into a coherent hierarchy around the universal focal colors black, white, red, green, yellow, and blue always appearing in this specific order across cultures. The meaning of this implicational hierarchy is as follows: If a population has a name for red, it also has a name for black and white (but not vice versa), if it has a name for green, it also has a name for red (but not vice versa), and so on. It should be remarked that the terms black and white appear in this hierarchy with a meaning close to the general panchromatic English terms dark and light or dull and brilliant rather than equivalent to the specific achromatic terms black and white (we refer to the SI Text for a more detailed discussion of the empirical observations). The origin of the observed hierarchy is largely unexplained and the aim of this paper is that of providing a first coherent and quantitative explanation of this phenomenon.

Color categorization has been used as a reference problem in computational studies on symbol grounding where one investigates how a population of interacting individuals can develop a shared repertoire of categories from scratch (12). It has been recently shown how a pure cultural negotiation dynamics, in the form of repeated language games (20–22) called the Category Game (CG) (15), can lead to the coevolution of a shared repertoire of categories and their linguistic labels. The CG considers a simplified representation of the color space consisting in a reduction of the true three-dimensional space to the one-dimensional hue color wheel, neglecting in this way the saturation and brightness dimensions. This abstraction is common in literature (13, 14, 23–25) where often a discrete division of the hue dimension has been adopted to represent the visual space. The novelty introduced by the CG consists in the introduction of a truly continuous perceptual space (e.g., the visible light spectrum) with no predefined category structure. Remarkably, even while the perceptual space is a continuum (as in colors), the emergent number of linguistic labels is finite and small (15), as observed in natural languages. In addition, though the reduction to the hue color wheel seems a very crude assumption, it should be remarked that individuals simulated in the CG, and endowed with the human Just Noticeable Difference (JND) function (27, 28), are able to bootstrap a color categorization whose statistical properties turn out to be in very good quantitative agreement with those observed in the WCS data (16). The JND function describes the variability of the resolution power of human vision with the frequency of incident light (Fig. 1). It is remarkable how a weak non-language specific bias common to all human beings, such as the human JND, can lead to a qualitative and, most strikingly, quantitative agreement with the experimental findings of the WCS. Finally the CG features a dynamical behavior characterized by the persistence of long-lasting metastable states (26). This observation formalizes the intuition that languages change thanks to,
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Note that access to a higher level requires a high consensus in the chromatic stimulus rescaled within the togetherness expressed as a vector centers of seven regions (to be used later in the article) that can be sent the centers of seven regions (to be used later in the article) that can be written (approximately) as \([445, 475, 500, 545, 585, 635, 645]\). and notwithstanding, their being the outcome of a collective behavior.

The central result of this paper is that a clear hierarchy for color names is found to naturally emerge, in the framework of the CG (15), through purely cultural negotiations among a population of coevolving agents, each endowed with the human JND function (27, 28). In particular, a hierarchy emerges that ranks different color names with respect to the time needed for them to get fixed in a population. Those names on which the population reaches a faster agreement turn out to be the basic color names, and the order of their emergence reflects the hierarchy found in ref. 6. This finding immediately provides a cultural definition of the basic color names with a degree of explicitness that has not been achieved so far.

The Hierarchical Category Game

The CG (15) (see Methods) describes the emergence of a hierarchical category structure made of two distinct levels: a basic layer, responsible for the fine discrimination of the environment (perceptual categories), and a shared linguistic layer that groups together perceptions to guarantee communicative success (linguistic categories). In the version presented here, the CG is further extended to allow for the emergence of a series of shared linguistic layers each of which could guarantee communicative success in progressively more complex tasks.

At each time step, a pair of individuals (one will be denoted as the speaker and the other as the hearer) is randomly selected from the population to play a language game. The speaker has to communicate about a scene composed of, say, two objects. He selects one of these, denoted as the topic, and decides to speak about it. The ensuing language game allows both players to coevolve the structure of their categories as well as their form-meaning inventories. Although the number of perceptual categories is tuned by a parameter of the model that encodes the JND (see Methods) and can be arbitrarily large, the number of linguistic categories, in all the emergent layers, turns out to be finite and small, as observed in case of color names for natural languages. A higher and a more refined linguistic layer is accessed by the agents only if, in a game, both the topic and the object have the same name. This homonymy generates a “confusion” in differentiating between the two, possibly resulting in a failure in communication, also referred to as “failure with name” (see Methods). Note that access to a higher level requires a high consensus in the adjacent lower level because a significant alignment among the agents is necessary to cause a failure with name. Whereas the first layer of linguistic categories (also reported in refs. 15, 16, and 26) can be associated to the emergence of primary color names, the successive layers might be linked to the emergence of complex color names when the knowledge of the primary color names is not enough to achieve a reasonable communicative success (one can think of a linguistic community comprising specialized individuals, as for instance painters, textile and cosmetic manufacturers; ref. 29).

Dynamics

Let us start our analysis by monitoring the time evolution of the number of linguistic categories at the different levels of the hierarchy. Fig. 2 A and B report the average number of perceptual and linguistic categories (at different levels) in the population when the model is informed respectively with the average human JND \((d_{\text{min}} = 0.0143)\) and the actual human JND function [i.e., \(d_{\text{min}}(x)\) as shown in Fig. 1]. For the linguistic categories, two regimes are clearly identified in all the different levels. Initially, corresponding to a series of uncorrelated games, the average number of linguistic categories per individual \((n_{\text{ling}})\) exhibits a rapid growth due to the pressure of discrimination (for a detailed description of CG, we refer to Methods), followed by a fast drop due to the onset of consensus and the merging of perceptual categories. A second regime eventually emerges in all the levels, characterized by a quasi-arrested dynamics. This slow dynamics is signaled by a “plateau” region, as also observed earlier for level 0 in ref. 26. Interestingly, when the human JND function is adopted, one observes the possibility of the emergence of a third level, although still in its transient phase even after a billion games per player (level 2 in Fig. 2B), whereas there is no such signal with a flat JND. This observation implies that, for an average JND, individuals need just two levels to express a category, for the actual JND, individuals need further levels to achieve communicative success. It turns out, in fact, that further levels are typically needed for small values of \(d_{\text{min}}\) (i.e., higher resolu-

![Fig. 1. The JND function. The wavelength change in a monochromatic stimulus needed to elicit a particular JND in the hue space. For the purpose of the CG, \(d_{\text{min}}(x)\) and topic, respectively, refers to the JND and the monochromatic stimulus rescaled within the \([0, 1]\) interval. The blue circles represent the centers of seven regions (to be used later in the article) that can be written (approximately) as \([445, 475, 500, 545, 585, 635, 645]\).](image)

![Fig. 2. Emergence of the category structure. (A) The average number of perceptual categories as well as the average number of linguistic categories at different levels versus the number of games per player \(t/N\) (where \(t\) indicates the number of games and \(N\) the population size) when JND is set to \(d_{\text{min}}\). (B) The average number of perceptual categories as well as the average number of linguistic categories at different levels versus \(t/N\) when JND is set to \(d_{\text{min}}(x)\). (C) The evolution of the average match in the population at different levels when JND is set to \(d_{\text{min}}\). The average match (see Methods for details) is a measure of the global alignment of the linguistic categories in the whole population. (D) The evolution of the average match in the population at different levels when JND is set to \(d_{\text{min}}(x)\). Here \(N = 500\) and the results represent an average over 30 simulation runs.](image)
tion power) and the actual JND features large regions below its average value (see SI Text for further discussion on this issue). In order to quantify the level of alignment of the linguistic categories across the population, we monitor (Fig. 2 C and D) the emergence of the average pairwise match (see Methods) as a function of time (expressed as games per player) for the different levels. Again we repeated the experiment with the average human JND ($d_{\text{min}} = 0.0143$) and the actual human JND function [i.e., $d_{\text{min}}(x)$]. Remarkably, the extent of agreement among the agents (as measured by the match) exceeds 95% in level 0 and 80% in level 1. Note that these results are consistent across different population sizes as shown in the SI Text.

It is important to remark that the timescales associated with the CG dynamics represent the times of persistence of a particular category in the population. The emergent asymptotic categorization corresponds to a metastable state where global changes are always possible, though progressively less likely as the system ages, which is typically synthesized by saying that the response properties of the system depend on its age (26). This perspective allows us to reconcile the evidence that languages do continuously change still remaining stable enough to be intelligible across a population.

Finally, a possible way to relate the different levels of the category structure to the process of human learning could be as follows. “Level 0” typically refers to the early stages of learning where a linguistic community attempts to agree upon a set of (basic) color terms needed for successful communication. However, as time goes by, the community would naturally feel the need of communicating through more complex color terms (e.g., color of a lipstick or a garment or a car). In the initial stages of this phase the community shall almost surely encounter difficulties in discriminating and communicating about close shades or nuances of color in a scene (analogous to failure with name); however, a second level of agreement could soon emerge within the community, when most of the language speakers are able to resolve and correctly associate higher order color terms to the various objects of the scene and this, in turn, is equivalent to “level 1” in the CG framework.

**Hierarchy of Fixation Times**

We now focus on the frequency of access to higher levels of linguistic categorization as a function of the local value of the JND. To this end, we report in Fig. 3 a scatter plot of the logarithm of the time (again expressed as games per player) at which the agents need to access level 1 versus the value of the topic in that particular game. The result clearly demonstrates that the agents need to access the higher level early in regions corresponding to high values of $d_{\text{min}}(x)$, whereas they access it quite late in regions corresponding to low values of $d_{\text{min}}(x)$. This observation indicates that an agreement at level 0 is reached faster in regions with high values of $d_{\text{min}}(x)$, resulting in more cases of failure with name in these regions, thereby, forcing the agents to access level 1.

In order to further verify the above observation, we compute the extent of the emergent agreement (i.e., match) at different regions of the perceptual space in level 0. In Fig. 1, the blue circles indicate the centers $c_i$ of seven such regions (i.e., the points of inflection in the JND function) that we choose to calculate the so-called “regional” agreement. We define a region by the length spanning $[c_i - d_{\text{min}}(c_i), c_i + d_{\text{min}}(c_i)]$ where $d_{\text{min}}(c_i)$ is the $y$-value corresponding to the value $c_i$ (see Fig. 1). In Fig. 4 A and B, we respectively show, for $N = 500$ and 700, the regional agreement for these seven regions at level 0 (also see the SI Text). The plots clearly signal that consensus emerges first in regions corresponding to high values of $d_{\text{min}}$ (e.g., regions 6 and 7) whereas it occurs later in regions corresponding to very low $d_{\text{min}}$ (e.g., regions 3 and 5). Most strikingly, if the regions are arranged according to the time (i.e., $t/N$) to reach a desired level of consensus (say a match value of 0.1), then they get organized into a hierarchy (Fig. 4 C and D) with [red, (magenta)-red], [violet], [green/yellow], [blue], [orange], and [cyan] (or [cyan] and [orange] as is usually observed for secondary basic color names) appearing in this order. This result is strikingly similar to that reported in ref. 6. Further, the data points for the fixation times are observed to obey a simple functional form, $A e^{-\alpha t/N}$, where $A$ and $\alpha$ are non-zero positive constants (gray lines in Fig. 4 C and D). In other words, the fixation time for specific primary colors at the population level diverges logarithmically with the resolution power $1/d_{\text{min}}$. Though this specific prediction cannot be checked with the currently available data, it is reminiscent of the logarithm law which is typically associated to human perception. Error bars in Fig. 4 C and D, representing the intrinsic variability of fixation.

**Fig. 3.** Activity for different topics. Scatter plot of the logarithm of the time (expressed as games per player) at which the agents need to access level 1 versus the value of the topic in that particular game. The points are colored in a way that they best represent the corresponding region of the visible spectrum. The human JND function [i.e., $d_{\text{min}}(x)$ versus the topic value] and the visible spectrum are given as references. Here $N = 500$ and the results represent an average over 30 simulation runs.

**Fig. 4.** Agreement emergence. Emergence of the agreement in the population in level 0. Match for (A) $N = 500$ and (B) $N = 700$ in the seven regions marked in Fig. 1. For better visualization, each curve is plotted in a color that best represents the corresponding region in the hue space (see Fig. 1). The time (i.e., $t/N$) for (C) $N = 500$ and (D) $N = 700$ to reach a desired consensus (match = 0.1) versus the value of $d_{\text{min}}$ corresponding to the seven regions. The results present an average over 60 simulation runs. In both the plots, the approximate wavelength (nanometer) associated with each colored data point is mentioned within the parenthesis. Error bars are drawn according to the variance of the distribution of consensus times in the different simulations. The gray lines in both the plots represent a fit of the respective data with an exponential function of the form $A e^{-\alpha t}$ (see text for more details).

Loreto et al. PNAS Early Edition | 3 of 6
times in different simulations, are important to explain the slight fluctuations in the color name hierarchy as observed in the WCS across different cultures.

It is important to observe how the similarity of the ranking of fixation times obtained in the framework of the CG with that observed in the framework of the WCS is not the outcome of a pure coincidence. It turns out that only a right choice of JND function, coupled with the language game dynamics, can reproduce the color hierarchy observed across human languages. In the SI Text, we report the outcomes of two additional experiments performed by substituting the human JND with a flat and an inverse JND. In none of these two cases the hierarchy obtained from the WCS could be reproduced.

Discussion

The two specific exceptions of the observed hierarchy from that suggested in ref. 6 are (i) emergence of violet in this hierarchy which is absent in ref. 6 and (ii) absence of brown in this hierarchy which appears immediately after blue in ref. 6. This discrepancy can be perhaps explained in the light of the past literature on basic color names. According to Kay and McDaniel (30), both of these color names are secondary basics and can therefore be expressed as fuzzy combinations of the six focal colors. In order to understand the presence of violet in the hierarchy, one needs to concentrate on the second stage of the color lexicon evolution suggested by Berlin and Kay (6) that marks the emergence of red. The authors themselves note that at this point the name red also includes the other end of the spectrum which is primarily violet. In fact, low-wavelength light (perceptually violet), although being at the opposite end of the spectrum, is in many cases perceived as reddish (31) and this is possibly why we see the emergence of violet just after red in the hierarchy (see also the SI Text for a further experiment concerning “red” and “violet”), On the other hand, brown is not a spectral color itself and usually refers to a combination of the high-wavelength hues: yellow, orange, or red. Therefore, the term brown can cover a wide range of the visible spectrum mostly inclusive of the different shades of orange and, in particular, is frequently recognized as dark orange (32). Consequently, it may be well argued that the emergence of orange in the hierarchy actually also marks the emergence of brown.

Further, we also note that no evident hierarchy is observed for the linguistic categories at level 1 (see the SI Text). Because color names associated to higher linguistic levels are intuitively associated to nonbasic color names, this observation implies that it is hard to arrange complex color names in a clear hierarchy as for the basic color names.

Another important point that deserves mention here is that, although in the current work categorization is invariably associated with naming, nonverbal perceptual learning is equally possible within a population and it has been extensively studied in refs. 33–36. However, our intention here was to seek a suitable answer to a long-standing chicken and egg problem in cognitive science: To name a category, it seems that this category should be already existing and be shared in the population, so how can nonverbal influence the shape of the emerging category structure? The CG is an attempt to show that coordination in a population is possible through a purely structural coupling between the categorization and the naming processes. The emergent patterns allow us to conclude that this coupling is indeed possible and that there is at least some role that a language plays to give rise to the coordination of the perceptually grounded categories. Thus, our contribution here is a plausible solution to the chicken and egg problem through the introduction of a complex interplay between naming and category formation.

As a final observation, we remark that the sharpening of perceived between-category differences and attenuation of perceived within-category differences also known as categorical perception (CP) (37, 38), and observed in the CG dynamics, could be an innate property or an outcome of the process of language learning. In fact, there is a huge amount of literature in support of either of these conjectures. The former position can be historically connected to rationalism (39) and is often found either in an explicit or an implicit way in evolutionary psychology (40–42). Specific to colors, refs. 43 and 44 have tried to seek evidence toward a genetic coding of color categories by analyzing the color categorization behavior of newborn children. On the other hand, in support of the latter position, presence of learning has been demonstrated through color tests with prelanguage children (45–47) and by means of experiments where individuals from a particular culture were tasked to learn the color categories of another culture (18, 48). However, a majority of researchers agree that even learning-based induction of CP is “loosely constrained by the default neural organization,” as has been suggested in ref. 18. The CG builds up on this last idea that the assumption of a minimal neural/physiological substrate (nonspecific to language) coupled with a complex cultural interaction process can actually cause the emergence of categorization patterns in a population of agents. It is important to note here that it is not only the neural substrate (i.e., JND) but also the complex dynamical process of learning of the agents that together lead to the observed hierarchy. In other words, the strong positive correlation between the JND and the hierarchical structure is not straightforward; in contrast, it is guided by a complex nonlinear chain of interactions.

Conclusion

In this paper, we have shown that a simple negotiation dynamics, driven by a weak nonlanguage specific bias, namely the frequency dependent resolution power of the human eye, is sufficient to guarantee the emergence of the hierarchy of color names getting so arranged by the times needed for their fixation in a population. The observed hierarchy features an excellent quantitative agreement with the empirical observations, confirming that the theoretical modeling in this area has now attained the required maturity to make significant contributions to the ongoing debates in cognitive science. Our approach suggests a possible route to the emergence of hierarchical color categories: The color spectrum clearly exists at a physical level of wavelengths, humans tend to react most saliently to certain parts of this spectrum often selecting exemplars for them, and finally comes the process of linguistic color naming, which adheres to universal patterns resulting in a neat hierarchy of the form obtained here. These intuitions are of course not a novelty (see for instance ref. 19); however, we provided a theoretical framework where the origin of the color hierarchy, as well as its quantitative structure, could be explained and reproduced through a purely cultural route driven, on its turn, by a nonlanguage-specific property of human beings.

It should be remarked that, despite the striking universal character of the color hierarchy, fluctuations exist across different languages as for the precise order in which color names got fixed in each language. In the framework of our model, this phenomenon is naturally explained as a consequence of the unavoidable stochasticity of the underlying cultural negotiation dynamics (15). The error bars in the fixation time of each specific color term in Fig. 4 specifically support this picture. Finally, it is important to mention that our results are paving the way for a detailed comparison with true historical data for each attested language, taking into account for instance phenomena like language contact and multilingualism as well as more language-specific cultural evolution processes.

Methods

The Category Game. The CG (15) constitutes of a set of N artificial agents in a simulated population with no words or categories at all in the beginning. As the game proceeds, the agents are repeatedly tasked with describing different perceptual stimuli received from their environment (e.g., colors) to one another. While doing so, a single stimulus (corresponding to a real value in a
continuous perceptual space, e.g., the visible light spectrum) is chosen from a set of multiple such stimuli (named objects) present in the environment and is denoted as the topic to be described. Each game is played by a pair of agents where one of them acts as a speaker, trying to describe the topic by a name, while another acting as a hearer, trying to guess just by listening to the name which object the speaker is referring to. The individual agents independently invent words and categories and, based on the success or failure of their communications, adjust their own categories and vocabularies to increase the success in communication. A communication is deemed successful if the word the speaker used appeared in the hearer’s vocabulary and allowed the hearer to identify the object the speaker meant. Further, the agents are endowed with a real property of human vision—i.e., the Just Noticeable Difference (Fig. 1)—by virtue of which they are not required to distinguish those hues that a human eye cannot tell apart. In the following, we present a brief description of the important components of this model referring the reader to the Supporting Information for a more detailed description accompanied by a suitable illustration of the individual steps of the game (see the SI Text).

**Basic dynamics.** The population consists of $N$ artificial agents each of them having a one-dimensional continuous perceptual space spanning, without any loss of generality, the $(0, 1)$ interval. Categorization simply corresponds to the partitioning of this space into discrete subintervals, which we shall call perceptual categories from now onward. Starting from a blank slate, each agent progressively develops a dynamical inventory of form-meaning associations linking categories (meanings) to words (forms). The emerging categories as well as the words associated to them coevolve over time through a series of simple communication interactions (or “games”).

**Choice of individuals for a game.** In a game, two individuals are randomly selected from the set of $N$ agents. One of them acts as a speaker and the other as a hearer. Both the speaker and the hearer are presented with a scene of $M \geq 2^*$ stimuli (objects), where each stimulus corresponds to a real number in the $(0, 1)$ interval. By definition, no two stimuli appearing in the same scene can be at a distance closer than $d_{\min}(x)$, where $x$ can be either of the two. This function is only the parameter of the model encoding the finite resolution power of any perception or equivalently the human JND (Fig. 1).

**Rules of negotiation.** One of the objects is randomly denoted as the topic of the communication. This information is known only to the speaker. The task of the speaker is to communicate this information to the hearer using the following rule. The speaker always checks whether the perceptual category (i.e., the subinterval) in which the topic falls is unique for it. If the two stimuli fall in the same single perceptual category, then a new boundary is created in the perceptual space at a location corresponding to the middle of the segment connecting the two stimuli creating two smaller subintervals. A new name is invented for each of these two new perceptual categories. In addition, both of them inherit all the words corresponding to the old category. This process is termed as discrimination. Subsequently, the speaker utters the “most relevant” name for the category corresponding to the topic. The most relevant name is either the one used in a previous successful communication or the newly invented name in case the category has just been created due to a discrimination. For the hearer, there can be the following possibilities: (i) the hearer does not have any category associated with the name, in which case the game is a failure, or (ii) more than one categories are associated with this name in the hearer’s inventory. In this case, the hearer randomly chooses one of them. If the hearer chooses the category linked to the topic, the game is a success, otherwise it is a failure.

**Update of inventories.** Depending on the outcome of the game, one or both the agents update their repertoires. In case of a failure, the hearer adds the word in her repertoire linked to the category corresponding to the topic. In case of a success, this word becomes the most relevant name for the category corresponding to the topic for both agents and they remove all the other competing words from their respective repertoires linked with this category.

**Dynamical evolution.** The dynamical evolution is initially driven by the pressure of discrimination, which makes the number of perceptual categories increase. At the same time, a synonymy emerges such that many different words are used by different agents for some similar categories. This kind of synonymy reaches a peak after which it starts to diminish as in the simple Naming Game (22). When on average only one word is recognized by the whole population for each perceptual category, a second phase of the evolution intervenes. During this phase, words spread their reference across adjacent perceptual categories, joining these categories to form the so-called linguistic categories. The coarsening of these categories features a dynamic arrest analogous to the physical process in which supercooled liquids approach the glass transition (26). On this long-lived state, the number of linguistic categories turns out to be finite and small (15).

**Multilevel Emergence.** Consider case ii discussed above. After the speaker transmits the name for the topic, the hearer finds more than one category associated with this name. If one of these categories is linked to the object and the hearer randomly chooses this one rather than the one linked to the topic, then we refer to this special case by failure with name. Note that this event is really not a “true” failure because, the hearer already knew the correct name for the topic and it can be associated to a confusion to differentiate between the topic and the object. We propose to overcome this situation by creating additional levels of linguistic categories. One can relate this scenario to the linguistic community of a set of specialized individuals, for instance, painters, for whom knowing the basic color terms are not enough to reach a reasonable communicative success. In contrast, knowledge of complex color terms is necessary to execute successful communication. Therefore, we include the possibility of creation of additional levels of linguistic categories in the CG model. We shall refer to the level corresponding to the basic CG as level 0 and the subsequent levels as level 1, level 2, and so on. The precise prescription when a failure with name takes place is the following. A higher level is accessed if the entire range of perceptual categories between the one associated with the object and the one associated with the topic has the same name. Otherwise, the failure with name procedure does not apply. In the positive case, when an higher level is accessed, the procedure is as follows:

i. Activity of the hearer: In case a higher level does not exist yet, the hearer creates a new virtual level by filling the span of (a) all the perceptual categories (if any) that are adjacent to either the topic or the object and have the same name, (b) the perceptual categories corresponding to the topic and the object, and (c) all the intermediate perceptual categories between the topic and the object. This span is then divided into two parts with a boundary, borrowed from the $(0, 1)$ perceptual space, that corresponds (or is closest) to the midpoint of the two objects. The two parts of this virtual span are named by two brand new words.

ii. Activity of the speaker. Same as the activity of the hearer in i.

iii. Deletion of a span: If a higher level already exists for either of the agents, then they check whether the span filling (a), (b), and (c) (see step b in the current level has an equal number of linguistic categories as the immediate lower level and, if so, this span is deleted.

iv. Game in the higher level: If either the conditions illustrated in steps i and ii are satisfied or the higher level for both the hearer and the speaker exists with at least a span filling the perceptual categories corresponding to the topic and the object as well as all the intermediate perceptual ca-

---

*Without any loss of generality in all our simulations, we shall use $M = 2$.

Fig. 5. Configuration of the different levels of a hypothetical agent. (A) The failure with name causes the creation of level 1 with two brand new words where the boundary is borrowed from level 0. (B) The number of linguistic categories in the span corresponding to the topic and the object is equal in level 0 and level 1 thereby causing a deletion of this span in level 1 followed by a recreation.

In Fig. 5 A and B, we illustrate one representative example of the process of creation and deletion of spans in the higher level.

Match. A match region $match(i, j)$ for a pair of agents $i$ and $j$ is the sum of the length of all the regions in their perceptual space where both of them have the same most relevant name. For instance, in Fig. 6, the match region corresponds to that length where both the agents have the same most relevant name “c.” Note that this metric is a quantitative measure of the amount of agreement between the agent pair. The match of the whole population is simply

$$2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} match(i, j) \over N(N-1).$$
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Experimental Hierarchy of Color Names

Berlin and Kay’s classic study (1) on typological properties of color vocabularies established the universal presence of a special subset of color names which they called the “basic color names.” These are the most salient and frequently used color words across the majority of the world’s languages. They represent the following 11 English color names: black, white, red, green, yellow, blue, brown, orange, purple, pink, and gray. Berlin and Kay found that these names have prototype properties, which means that there is usually one name that best represents a color, whereas other colors that are progressively more dissimilar with this color become less good examples for the name. They also found that the number of basic color names range from 2 to 11 across the world’s languages, of course with exceptions like Russian and Hungarian which have 12 basic names. A third and a totally unexpected finding by them is that if a language encodes fewer than 11 names, then there are strict limitations on which names it may encode. The typological regularities observed by them can be summarized by the following implicational hierarchy

\[
\text{white} < [\text{red}] < [\text{green}] < [\text{yellow}] < [\text{blue}] < [\text{brown}] < [\text{purple}] < [\text{pink}] < [\text{orange}] < [\text{gray}]
\]

where for distinct color names \( a \) and \( b \), the expression \( a < b \) signifies that \( a \) is present in every language where \( b \) is present but not vice versa. Based on the above observation, the authors further theorize that as languages evolve they acquire the new basic color names in a fixed chronological sequence of the form

Stage I: dark-cool and light-warm.
Stage II: red (including all shades of violet).
Stage III: either green or yellow.
Stage IV: both green and yellow.
Stage V: blue.
Stage VI: brown.
Stage VII: purple, pink, orange, or gray.

We stress how stage I of ref. 1 is not referring to the emergence of the two achromatic colors “black” and “white,” rather it refers to a division of the perceptual space that has nothing to do with the chromatic properties of light, being based exclusively on the light intensity. Ratliff writes (2) that the well-known studies of Dani color terms by Heider-Rosch and Olivier (3) “put the question of psychophysiological bases of the two color terms of stage I into better perspective. These terms appear to be panchromatic, more or less equivalent to the general panchromatic English terms dark and light or dull and brilliant rather than equivalent to the specific achromatic terms black and white. Although the Dani color terms do include chromatic colors, and do have attributes of coolness and warmth, the division between them appears to be based mainly on brightness.”

It is also important to mention here that six languages studied by Berlin and Kay do not conform to the above presented hierarchy. In some cases this deviation is because there is no basic color name that can be consistently identified with certain parts of the visible spectrum. For instance, as has been noted by Dowman (4), the Kuku-Yalanji (Australia) language has no consistent name for green. Whereas some speakers identify either just green or both green and blue with kavay, most of them do not use this name at all for green. Moreover, it should be noted that certain other languages studied by Berlin and Kay appear in a transition between the evolutionary stages because some speakers (especially younger speakers) are found to use more color names than the others (see ref. 4 and the references therein). In the Category Game (CG) framework that we propose here, these deviations from the hierarchy can be naturally attributed to the inherent stochasticity of the underlying cultural dynamics and the fluctuations in the fixation times of the color names in the form of error bars (see Fig. 4 C and D of the main text) confirm this picture. At the same time, this framework is able to spell out the major characteristics of this hierarchy (in terms of the mean fixation times of the color names) in a remarkable way. In summary, both the universal trends in color naming as well as the possible exceptions to this universality is explained in this framework.

The Category Game model. The basic purpose of the CG model (5) is to examine how a population of interacting individuals can develop, through a series of language games, a shared form-meaning repertoire from scratch and without any preexisting categorization. The model involves a set of \( N \) artificial agents committed to the task of categorizing a single analogical perceptual channel (e.g., the hue dimension of the color spectrum), each stimulus being represented as a real-valued number ranging in the interval \([0, 1]\). We identify categorization as a partition of the \([0, 1]\) interval (representing the perceptual channel of the agents) into discrete subintervals which are denoted as perceptual categories. Each individual has a dynamical inventory of form-meaning associations linking perceptual categories (meanings) to words (forms), denoting their linguistic counterpart. The perceptual categories as well as the words associated to them coevolve dynamically through a sequence of elementary communication interactions, usually referred to as games. All the players are initialized with only the trivial \([0, 1]\) perceptual category that has no name associated to it. In each step, a pair of individuals (one playing as speaker and the other as hearer) is randomly selected from the population and presented with a new “scene”—i.e., a set of \( M \geq 2 \) objects (stimuli) where each object is a real number in the \([0, 1]\) interval. (For simplicity and without any loss of generality we assume \( M = 2 \).) The speaker discriminates the scene and names one object (i.e., the topic) and the hearer tries to guess the topic from the name. A correct guess results in a successful communication. Based on the outcomes of the game, the two individuals update their category boundaries and the inventory of the associated words. A detailed description of the game is provided in Fig. S1.

The perceptive resolution power of the individuals limits their ability to distinguish between the objects in the scene that are too close to each other in the perceptual space. In order to take this factor into account, no two stimuli appearing in the same scene can be at a distance closer than \( d_{\text{min}}(x) \) where \( x \) can be either of the two. This function, usually termed the Just Noticeable Difference (JND), encodes the finite resolution power of human vision by virtue of which the artificial agents are not required to distinguish between those categories that a human eye cannot differentiate (see Fig. 1 in the main text).

Dynamical Properties of the Multilevel Emergence. Evolution of the category structure. In the CG dynamics, one can identify two different phases. In the first phase, the number of perceptual categories increases due to the pressure of discrimination, and at the same time many different words are used by different agents for naming similar perceptual categories. This kind of synonymy is found to reach a peak and then suddenly drop, as shown in refs. 5
and 6. Subsequently, a second phase begins when most of the perceptual categories are associated with only one word (6). At this point, words are found to expand their dominion across adjacent perceptual categories. Therefore, sets of contiguous perceptual categories sharing the same words are formed at the different existing levels, giving raise to a single linguistic category (Fig. S2 A and B). Consequently, an important outcome is the emergence of a hierarchical category structure made of a basic layer, responsible for fine discrimination of the environment, and shared linguistic layers that groups together perceptions at the different levels to guarantee communicative success. Remarkably, the number of linguistic categories in the second phase turns out to be finite and small for all the different levels with a very high agreement among the agents in the population (Fig. S2 C and D).

**Dependence of the levels on** $d_{\text{min}}$. In the multilevel CG, the emergence of a higher level is strongly tied to the value of $d_{\text{min}}$ chosen. If $d_{\text{min}}$ is high (see Fig. S3A), then a third level (level 2) never emerges as a separate entity; in contrast, it mimics the lower level. However, if $d_{\text{min}}$ is low, then a third level is also found to emerge (see Fig. S3B) although still in its transient phase after a billion games per player. This observation can be intuitively explained: When $d_{\text{min}}$ is low, two objects at the same distance in the $[0, 1]$ interval more likely belong to different perceptual categories. Because the number of linguistic categories does not depend on $d_{\text{min}}$ (which is one of the main results of the CG), the probability of having a “failure with name” and hence of the emergence of multiple levels increases when decreasing $d_{\text{min}}$. Low $d_{\text{min}}$ allows then for a much “fine-grained” categorization of the perceptual space, which is typically the case with specialized linguistic communities (e.g., painters). On the other hand, the timescales for the emergence of linguistic categories, at any level of categorization, increase while decreasing $d_{\text{min}}$, which explains why regions of the color spectrum corresponding to high $d_{\text{min}}$ are the first to be named with high consensus in the population.

**Fraction of games in the higher level.** Here we measure the fraction of games that are being played at level 1 when JND is set to $d_{\text{min}}$ as well as $d_{\text{min}}(x)$ (Fig. S4A). Note that the fraction of games being played in the higher level is proportional to the value of JND chosen [$d_{\text{min}}(x)$ can take up much lower values than its average value $d_{\text{min}}$]. This result is simply an outcome of the fact that in case of low values of $d_{\text{min}}$, the number of choices for the topic and the object is much larger, which in turn increases the chances of failure with name eventually resulting in more games being played in the higher level.

Fig. S4B illustrates the number of games played over time sliding windows in level 1 in the seven individual regions (see Fig. 1 of the main text) expressed as a fraction of the total number of games played in these regions in level 1 over the same time window. Once again a clear ordering emerges at the onset of the dynamics which is in agreement with the results presented in the main text: This fraction is least in the regions corresponding to low $d_{\text{min}}$ (i.e., regions 4 and 6).

**Extension.** We define extension as the portion of the $[0, 1]$ space that already has at least one name in a particular level. Note that by definition the extension of level 0 is always 1. Fig. S5 A and B reports the average extension in the population versus $t/N$ when JND is set to $d_{\text{min}}$ and $d_{\text{min}}(x)$, respectively. Both the results indicate that the level 1 is already completely created filling the entire $[0, 1]$ space as soon as $t/N > 10^4$. However, in case where a third level emerges, it only shows up after roughly a million games per player.

**Regional agreement.** Here we present additional results indicating the emergence of the regional agreement besides that already reported in the main text (Fig. 4 of the main text). In Fig. S6 we plot the average match in level 0 for the seven different regions, this time the length of the region being $|c_j - d_{\text{min}}, c_j + d_{\text{min}}|$: The length of all seven regions in this case is the same and therefore independent of $d_{\text{min}}(x)$ (unlike Fig. 4 of the main text). One observes even for fixed-length regions a time ordering of the emergent agreement that is fully consistent with the result presented in Fig. 4 of the main text. Therefore, it is reasonable to conclude that this effect is independent of the length of the regions chosen and is completely determined by the centers (and the corresponding $d_{\text{min}}$ of these regions).

Fig. S6B illustrates how the success rate emerges in these seven fixed-length regions. Note that if the agents are successful in any of the levels, then the outcome of the game is assumed to be a success. Success rate is the fraction of successful games over time sliding windows. This quantity is an alternative measure of the agreement among the agents (more successful games result from a larger agreement) and reflects a very similar time ordering as observed in Fig. S6A. Fig. S6C shows how the success rate emerges in the seven variable-length regions defined in the main text for Fig. 4. Once again, a similar time ordering discussed in all the previous results is observed.

Finally, in Fig. S6D, we plot the emergent match at level 1 in the seven variable-length regions. Although a high agreement is reached for all the regions, no clear time ordering that could be correlated to the corresponding $d_{\text{min}}$ is found to emerge, implying that it is hard to arrange complex color names in a clear hierarchy, unlike the basic color names.

**Control Experiments.** In this section, we show that the similarity of the color order obtained from our results to that from the World Color Survey (WCS) is not a pure coincidence. As a final check for the robustness of our results we perform the following control experiments. We consider, in particular, two null situations where we endow the agents with: (i) a flat JND (i.e., $d_{\text{min}} = 0.0143$), which is the average value of the human JND (as it is projected on the $[0, 1]$ interval) and (ii) the (properly rescaled) inverse of the JND function (Fig. S7).

In both cases, the outcomes of the dynamical evolution have to be compared with that obtained using the actual human JND function [i.e., $d_{\text{min}}(x)$]. Fig. S8 A and B reports the emergence of agreement (in terms of match) and the fixing times, respectively, for the case of flat JND.

Fig. S8 C and D reports the emergence of agreement and the fixing times, respectively, for the case of inverse JND. Importantly, here the fixing times are plotted against the wavelength values of the light corresponding to the seven regions considered in the main text. Note that, in none of these two cases the hierarchy obtained from the WCS is reproduced. In the case of flat JND, the fixing times for all the seven regions are roughly equal, whereas in the case of inverse JND, the fixing times are nearly opposite to what we find in case of the actual JND. Thus, the outcome reported in the manuscript is not a pure coincidence and only a right choice of JND coupled on top of it with a complex dynamical process of nonlinear interactions can reproduce the color hierarchy observed across human languages.

**Effects of Rotation of the Stimuli.** In order to further establish the robustness of our results, we repeat our experiments, however, with the stimuli now rotated. In particular, the topic values (i.e., $x$) are given a shift such that $x = (x + 0.5) \mod 1$ (Fig. S9 A). Note that this shift brings the regions corresponding to “red” and “violet” at the center and therefore close to each other, while placing regions corresponding to “orange” and “green” at the two distant ends. For this experiment, the agents are endowed with this rotated form of the JND function. Fig. S9B shows how the agreement (i.e., match) emerges in the seven variable-length regions defined in the main text for Fig. 4, however, now with the
centers appropriately shifted [i.e., \( c \rightarrow (c + 0.5 \mod 1) \)]. The results clearly indicate that, even under rotation, the order in which the agreements emerge in the seven regions remain precisely similar to that reported in Fig. 4 of the main text. Therefore, it is reasonable to advocate that the color order obtained from our results is not a pure coincidence; rather it shows how a culturally driven phenomenon can actually lead to the emergence of the color hierarchy that is strongly correlated to the empirical observations so far made in the literature.

**Toward an Evolutionary Dynamics.** In order to further check the robustness of our results, we ran an additional experiment along the following lines. We imagine that each individual performs a certain number of linguistic interactions along her own lifetime. Instead of considering the lifetime infinite, we introduced a rate of replacement of each individual—i.e., the rate over which a given individual is removed from the population and substituted by a “blank slate” individual. This process mimics the birth of new individuals (e.g., children) who have to learn the current language. The new individual could in principle affect the current language (i.e., the shared categorization that emerged so far) and the main question is whether the population is still able to bootstrap a shared system of linguistic categories. We ran the experiment using several values of the replacement rate and the results are reported in Fig. S10.

It is evident how the agreement is always reached and the replacement rate introduces only a small perturbation to the overall dynamics. The replacement rate \( r \) is directly connected to the lifetime duration. It takes \( N/r \) steps to replace on average the whole population. This value corresponds to 1/game per agent and this number estimates the duration of a generation as well as the average lifetime of an individual. In our case, we are ranging from \( 10^3 \) to \( 10^5 \) games per agent. Notice that for the time being we are not yet simulating a truly genetic algorithm because there is no notion of fitness in there. A thorough investigation of the interplay between cultural and evolutionary timescales will also be presented elsewhere to compare our results with the outcomes of the approaches based on the so-called Iterated Learning Model (7).


---

**Fig. S1.** The basic steps of the CG. A pair of examples representing a failure (game I) and a success (game II), respectively. In a game, two players (S denoting the speaker and H denoting the hearer) are randomly selected from the population. Both the players are presented with a scene with two objects and the speaker selects the topic for the subsequent communication. In game I, because the two objects belong to the same perceptual category of the speaker, the speaker has to discriminate her perceptual space by creating a boundary at the middle of the segment containing the two objects (marked by the bold black arrow). The two new categories formed after discrimination inherit the words inventory of the parent perceptual category (here the words “m” and “c”); in addition, a different brand new word is invented for each of the two categories (words “e” and “n” marked by colored circles). Subsequently, the speaker browses the list of words associated to the perceptual category containing the topic (i.e., “m”, “c”, and “e” here). At this point, there can be two possibilities: If a previous successful communication has occurred with this category, the last winning word is chosen; alternatively, the last word invented is selected. For the current example, the speaker chooses the word “e” (marked by the black circle here), and transmits it to the hearer. The outcome of the game is a failure because the hearer does not have the word “e” in her inventory associated with the topic. Finally, the speaker unveils the topic, in a nonlinguistic way (e.g., by pointing at it), and the hearer adds the new word to the word inventory of the category corresponding to the topic. In game II, the topic that the speaker chooses is already discriminated. Therefore, the speaker verbalizes it using the word “c” (which, for example, is possibly the winning word in the last successful communication concerning that category). The hearer knows this word and can therefore point to the topic correctly, thereby leading to a successful game. Both the players dispose all competing words for the perceptual category corresponding to the topic except “c”. In general, if there are ambiguities (e.g., the hearer finds the word uttered to be linked to multiple categories containing an object), they are resolved by making an unbiased random choice of one of the categories.
**Fig. S2.** Evolution of the category structure. (A) Evolution of the average number of perceptual categories as well as the average number of linguistic categories at different levels when JND is set to $d_{\text{min}}$. (B) Evolution of the average number of perceptual categories as well as the average number of linguistic categories at different levels when JND is set to $d_{\text{min}}(x)$. Solid lines show results for $N = 300$ and broken lines show results for $N = 700$. All the results are averaged over 30 simulation runs.

**Fig. S3.** Dependence of the higher levels on $d_{\text{min}}$. Evolution of the average number of perceptual categories as well as the average number of linguistic categories at different levels when JND is set to (A) $d_{\text{min}} = 0.05$ and (B) $d_{\text{min}} = 0.005$. The results are shown for $N = 500$ and are averaged over 30 simulation runs.

**Fig. S4.** The fraction of games played by the agents in level 1. (A) The fraction of the total number of games being played in level 1 versus $t/N$ when the value of JND is set to $d_{\text{min}}$ as well as $d_{\text{min}}(x)$. (B) The number of games played over time sliding windows in level 1 in the seven individual regions expressed as a fraction of the total number of games played in these regions in level 1 over the same time window. Here $N = 500$ and the results present an average over 30 simulation runs for A and 80 simulation runs for B.

**Fig. S5.** The average extension versus $t/N$ at different levels. (A) JND is set to $d_{\text{min}}$. (B) JND is set to $d_{\text{min}}(x)$ as in Fig. 1 of the main text. Here $N = 500$ and the results present an average over 30 simulation runs.
Fig. S6. Emergence of regional agreement. (A) Match at level 0 in the seven fixed-length regions. (B) Success rate in the seven fixed-length regions. (C) Success rate in the seven variable-length regions. (D) Match at level 1 in the seven variable-length regions. Here $N = 500$ and the results present an average over 30 simulation runs.

Fig. S7. The (properly rescaled) inverse JND function. The function shows the inverse of the actual human JND calculated by first taking $1/d_{\min}(x)$, then normalizing these values by $\max_x [1/d_{\min}(x)]$, and finally multiplying the normalized values with $\max_x [d_{\min}(x)]$, which confines the values in the same range as the actual JND.

Fig. S8. Agreement emergence. Emergence of the agreement in the population in level 0 where the agents are endowed with (A) a flat JND and (C) an inverse JND. The population size $N = 500$. The time (i.e., $t/N$) for the agents to reach a desired consensus (match $= 0.1$) versus the value of the wavelength corresponding to the seven regions when they are endowed with (B) a flat JND and (D) an inverse JND. All the results are averaged over 30 simulation runs.
Fig. S9. Effect of rotating the stimuli. (A) The JND function when the topic values are given a rotation of the form $x \rightarrow (x + 0.5) \mod 1$. (B) Emergence of the agreement in the population in level 0 where the agents are endowed with the rotated JND function. The population size $N = 500$. All the results are averaged over 45 simulation runs.

Fig. S10. Emergence of the agreement in the population in level 0. We report the overall match for $N = 300$ for different values of the replacement rate $r = 10^{-3}, 5 \cdot 10^{-4}, 10^{-4}, 5 \cdot 10^{-5}, 10^{-5}$. All the results are averaged over 30 simulation runs.